

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,717	07/11/2001	Herbert Uram	6761	
7590 12/05/2003		EXAMINER		
c/o ANTHONY CASTORINA			GANEY, STEVEN J	
SUITE 207 2001 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON,	VA 22202		3752	4
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003	, /

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	_			1 .
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	w
Office Action Summary		09/901,717	URAM, HERBERT	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Steven J. Ganey	3752	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address	· -
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  INSIGN SO IT THIS COMMUNICATION.  INS	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely, om the mailing date of this communication NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 S	eptember 2003.		
2a)[☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E			S
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o ion Papers	r election requirement.		
	·			
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	_	e Examiner	
.0/	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			(d).
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer. Note the attached Offic	ce Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
* ; 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burear See the attached detailed Office action for a list Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic ince a specific reference was included in the first CFR 1.78.  The translation of the foreign language process of the priority of the priorit	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicantly documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). In of the certified copies not receive priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 st sentence of the specification ovisional application has been received.	ation No ived in this National Stage ved. 9(e) (to a provisional applica or in an Application Data Sh eceived. 20 and/or 121 since a specifi	eet.
Attachmer	nt(s)	_		
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) 🔲 Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s). I Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/901,717

Art Unit: 3752

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-10 in Paper No. 3 is acknowledged, however, after further review the Restriction requirement was not warranted and is therefore withdrawn. An action directed to claims 1-16 follows.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the disclosed invention is wholly inoperative and therefore lacking in credible utility. What has been disclosed is a concept more in the realm of speculation and conjecture than the reduction of an idea to a practical application based on science and technology.

Regarding claim 1, Applicant claims a method of inhibiting or weakening the formation of hurricanes comprising detecting the onset of a hurricane in a region of open water and immediately cooling the surface water in the open water region. In order for an invention, process or otherwise, to have credible utility, the application disclosure must contain sufficient evidence and reasoning to permit a person of ordinary skill in the art to believe the asserted utility. In this case, the application does not contain sufficient information to permit a person of ordinary skill in the art to believe that the process disclosed could achieve the asserted useful

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/901,717

Art Unit: 3752

result, since Applicant has shown no evidence of reducing the speculation and conjecture to practice in either a laboratory or natural environment setting.

Regarding claim 11, Applicant claims a submarine including a water pump constructed such that its operation, upon detection of the onset of a hurricane, is effective to utilize the cooler water at said depth of the open water region to cool the water at the surface of the open water region. Again, as in claim 1, the application disclosure does not contain sufficient evidence and reasoning to permit a person of ordinary skill in the art to believe the asserted utility or that the process disclosed could achieve the asserted useful result, since Applicant has shown no evidence of reducing the speculation and conjecture to practice in either a laboratory or natural environment setting.

On the issue of compliance with the utility requirement of 35 U.S.C. 101, the following statement made by the Supreme Court of the United States is on point:

"This is not to say that we mean to disparage the importance of contributions to the fund of scientific information short of the invention of something "useful", or that we are blind to the prospect that what now seems without "use" may tomorrow command the grateful attention of the public. But a patent is not a hunting license. It is not a reward for the search, but compensation for its successful conclusion. "[A] patent system must be related to the world of commerce rather than to the realm of philosophy."

See, Brenner v. Manson, 148 USPQ 689, 696 (US SupCt 1966).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Application/Control Number: 09/901,717

Art Unit: 3752

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

5. Claims 1-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The standard for enablement is whether a person skilled in the art would have sufficient information from the application disclosure to make and use the claimed invention without undue experimentation. In this case, the amount of experimentation necessary to use the process disclosed would be undue. Undue experimentation would be necessary because:

- The claimed invention is broad and sweeping in scope.
- The nature of the invention is that of a large-scale environmental change.
- The state of the prior art offers no reasonable background from which to judge the feasibility of the invention.
- The level of one of ordinary skill in this art is best characterized as that of a theoretical scientist dealing in probabilities and possibilities rather than that of an engineer dealing in practical applications of technology.
- The outcome of the disclosed concept is entirely unpredictable.
- The application is devoid of working examples.
- The quantity of experimentation needed to use the invention based on the content of the
  disclosure can only be characterized as astronomical considering the lack of background
  information, past experimentation, and specific detail.

Application/Control Number: 09/901,717

Art Unit: 3752

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Bronicki et al shows method for weather modification by bringing cooler water to the

surface. Girden '513 and Girden '475 teach producing power by pumping cooler water to the

surface. Greene shows a submarine pumping water to the surface. Reid shows means of lifting

moisture from a body of water.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Steven J. Ganey whose telephone number is (703) 308-2585.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday from 8:00

AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Mar, can be reached on (703) 308-2087. The fax phone number for this

Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

STEVEN J. GANEY PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5

/ 2 // 23

sjg

12/1/03